

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/420,603	10/19/1999	NAOYASU MIYAGAWA	JEL.28567RE-	3028
7	7590 08/16/2002			
James E Ledbetter Esq Stevens Davis Miller & Mosher LLP P O Box 34387			EXAMINER	
			HINDI, NABIL Z	
	NW , Suite 850 C 20043-4387		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
<i>5</i> ,	•		2653	22
			DATE MAILED: 08/16/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Office Action Summary

• •

Application No. 09/420,603

Applicant(s)

\_\_\_\_\_

Miyagawa et al

Examiner

Nabil Hindi

Art Unit **2653** 

	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears of			
	or Reply			
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.	TO EXPIRE <u>three</u> MONTH(S) FROM		
- Extensi	ions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In r	no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the		
- If the p	date of this communication. eriod for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within th			
	eriod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply a to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause th			
<ul> <li>Any rej</li> </ul>	ply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).			
Status	,			
1) 💢	Responsive to communication(s) filed on June25, 20	002		
2a) 🗌	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) 💢 This action	on is non-final.		
3) 🗆	Since this application is in condition for allowance e closed in accordance with the practice under Ex par	xcept for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is received. 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	tion of Claims			
4) 💢	Claim(s) <u>36 and 38-45</u>	is/are pending in the application.		
4	a) Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration.		
5) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are allowed.		
6) 💢	Claim(s) 36 and 38-45			
7) 🗆	Claim(s)	is/are objected to.		
8) 🗌	Claims	are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.		
Applica	tion Papers			
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.			
10) 🗌	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	a) $\square$ accepted or b) $\square$ objected to by the Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the d	rawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed on	is: a) $\square$ approved b) $\square$ disapproved by the Examiner.		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply t	o this Office action.		
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	ner.		
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign pr	iority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).		
a) L	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have			
	2. U Certified copies of the priority documents have			
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority do application from the International Bures	au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
_	ee the attached detailed Office action for a list of the			
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic			
_	The translation of the foreign language provisiona Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic			
Attachm		priority under 30 0.3.C. 33 120 and/or 121.		
_	tice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).		
2) No	tice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)		
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6) Other:				

In response to the withdrawing the holding of abandonment dated May 10, 2002 and the Terminal Disclaimer dated June 25, 2002. The following action is taken:

1. Claims 36, and 38-45 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 251 as being an improper recapture of broadened claimed subject matter surrendered in the application for the patent upon which the present reissue is based. See *Hester Industries, Inc.* v. *Stein, Inc.*, 142 F.3d 1472, 46 USPQ2d 1641 (Fed. Cir. 1998); *In re Clement,* 131 F.3d 1464, 45 USPQ2d 1161 (Fed. Cir. 1997); *Ball Corp.* v. *United States,* 729 F.2d 1429, 1436, 221 USPQ 289, 295 (Fed. Cir. 1984). A broadening aspect is present in the reissue which was not present in the application for patent. The record of the application for the patent shows that the broadening aspect (in the reissue) relates to subject matter that applicant previously surrendered during the prosecution of the application. Accordingly, the narrow scope of the claims in the patent was not an error within the meaning of 35 U.S.C. 251, and the broader scope surrendered in the application for the patent cannot be recaptured by the filing of the present reissue application.

Applicant amended all original claims 1, 7, 10, 13, 16 and 19 of patent number 5,235,581 to include limitations which were specifically added to overcome prior art rejections during the prosecution of that original patent. Such, newly added limitations included for example, "N optical heads, N being greater than or equal to 2"; objective lenses "whose aberration have respectively been corrected for said N disc substrates having different thicknesses"; "a plurality

Application/Control Number: 09/420603

Art Unit: 2653

of" photo detecting means, control means for "allowing" and control means ... "for providing said

control signal to said selecting means and for allowing the light emitting means for" which have

now been a<del>re now</del> removed from the present application. This constitutes an improper recapture

of the claims, see Pannu v. Storz Instruments, Inc. 258 F.3d 1366, 59 USPQ. 2d 1597 (Fed. Cir.

2001).

Note: Applicant's required arguments to this rejection must include an explanation of all

limitations deleted from each of the independent claims of the original patent, which had

been added to establish patentability (e.g., added from the dependent claims to the

independent claims).

Furthermore, certificate of correction is requested for the parent reissue application citing

all related reissue applications.

Applicant is hereby reminded that the new supplemental declaration should meet the

requirements set in the MPEP 1414.01.

Any inquiry concerning this communication should be directed to NABIL.HINDI at telephone

number (703) 308.1555

Page 3